Decisions Per Curiam, Etc.

DECISIONS PER CURIAM, FROM APRIL 14, 1925, TO AND INCLUDING JUNE 8, 1925, OTHER THAN DECISIONS ON PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI.

No. 328. Peter Sain et al. v. Cypress Creek Drainage District. Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas. Submitted April 13, 1925. Decided April 20, 1925. Per Curiam. Dismissed for want of jurisdiction upon the authority of California Powder Works v. Davis, 151 U. S. 389, 393; Morrison v. Watson, 154 U. S. 111, 115; Harding v. Illinois, 196 U. S. 78, 86; Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co. v. McDonald, 214 U. S. 191, 192; Cleveland & Pittsburgh R. R. Co. v. Cleveland, 235 U. S. 50, 53. Mr. Lamar Williamson for plaintiffs in error. Mr. Charles T. Coleman for defendant in error.

No. 13, Original. State of Oklahoma v. State of Texas, United States, Intervener. In Equity. Orders entered April 27, 1925.

The motion of the State of Texas for leave to file a reply to the replications of the State of Oklahoma and the United States to the amended counter-claim of the State of Texas relating to the interstate boundary along the 100th meridian is granted, and the reply tendered with such motion is ordered filed.

The joint motion of the State of Oklahoma, the State of Texas and the United States respecting the making up and printing of the record on such counter-claim and the submission and hearing of the issues pertaining thereto is granted; the Clerk is directed to make up and print the record as requested in the motion; and the hearing on the counter-claim is fixed for Monday, November 2, next, after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

No. 154. CREW LEVICK COMPANY v. THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, to the use of J. Joseph McHugh. Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania. Argued April 20, 1925. Decided April 27, 1925. Per Curiam. Dismissed for the want of jurisdiction upon the authority of § 257 of the Judicial Code, as amended by the act of September 6, 1916, c. 448, § 2, 39 Stat. 726; Jett Bros. Distilling Co. v. Carrollton, 252 U. S. 1, 5-6. Mr. David Wallerstein with whom Mr. W. B. Saul was on the brief, for plaintiff in error. Mr. Glenn C. Mead for defendant in error.

No. 220. FORT SMITH LIGHT & TRACTION Co. v. FAGAN BOURLAND ET AL. It is ordered by this court that the opinion heretofore filed be amended by inserting after the words "franchise" in the last sentence of the opinion the words "or indeterminate permit." Petition for rehearing denied. [See 267 U. S. 330.]

No. 404. Louisiana Railway & Navigation Company v. Mrs. Alice S. Dupuis. Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana. Motion to dismiss or affirm submitted April 27, 1925. Decided May 4, 1925. Per Curiam. Dismissed for want of jurisdiction upon the authority of § 237 of the Judicial Code, as amended by the act of September 6, 1916, c. 448, § 2, 39 Stat. 726; Jett Bros. Distilling Co. v. Carrollton, 252 U. S. 1, 5–6. Mr. W. M. Barrow for defendant in error in support of the motion. Mr. E. H. Randolph for plaintiff in error in opposition to the motion.

No. 13, Original. STATE OF OKLAHOMA v. STATE OF TEXAS, UNITED STATES, INTERVENER. In Equity. Orders entered May 11, 1925. Announced by Mr. Justice Van Devanter. See ante p. 252.